



MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT
SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

CV-23-00055-PHX-DLR (ESW)

United States District Court	District of Arizona
Name (under which you were convicted): Jacob Matthew Medina	Docket or Case No: Cr-19-00329-PHX-DLR
Place of Confinement: FCI # 1 Victorville Medium P.O. Box 3725 Adelanto, CA 92301	Prisoner No: 11975-508
Movant Jacob Matthew Medina	V. United States of America

SEALED**MOTION**

1. (a) Name and location of court that entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging:

(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): Cr-19-00329-PHX-DLR2. (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): Unknown(b) Date of sentencing: 01/06/20223. Length of sentence: 160 Months

4. Nature of crime (all counts): (1) 21 U.S.C. § 841 (b) (1) (A)(vi); 21 U.S.C. § 841 (b)(1)(A)(B)(i) (2) 21 U.S.C. 841 (b)(1)(A)(B)(vi), (3) 21 U.S.C. 841 (b)(1)(A)(B)(vi), (4) 21 U.S.C. § 846, (5) 18 U.S.C. § 924 (c)

5. (a) What was your plea: (Check one)

(1) Not guilty (2) Guilty (3) Nolo contendere (no contest)

(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to?

Yes, I plead guilty by being coerced 21 U.S.C. § 846 (Conspiracy) and 21 U.S.C. § 841 (b) (1) (A) (vi) & (B) (i) Conspiracy to distribute 400 grams or more of fentanyl & 100 grams or more of heroin

		Plead Guilty
6. If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)	Jury <input type="checkbox"/>	Judge Only <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or post-trial hearing?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? "N/A/unknown if attorney filed any Notice of Appeal"	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
9. If you did appeal, answer the following:		
(a) Name of court:	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(b) Docket or case number (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(c) Result:	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(d) Date of result (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(e) Citation of the case (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(f) Grounds raised:	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(g) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		<u>"N/A"</u>
If "Yes," answer the following:		
(1) Docket or case number (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(2) Result:	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(3) Date of result (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(4) Citation to the case (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(5) Grounds raised:	<u>"N/A"</u>	
10. Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other motions, petitions, or applications concerning this judgment of conviction in any court?		
Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>"N/A"</u>
11. If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:		
<u>"N/A"</u>		
(a)(1) Name of court:	<u>"N/A"</u>	
(2) Docket of case number (if you know):	<u>"N/A"</u>	

(3) Date of filing (if you know): **"N/A"**

(4) Nature of the proceeding: **"N/A"**

(5) Grounds raised: **"N/A"**

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No **"N/A"**

(7) Result: **"N/A"**

(8) Date of result (if you know): **"N/A"**

(b) If you file any second motion, petition, or application, give the same information:

(1) Name of court: **"N/A"**

(2) Docket of case number (if you know): **"N/A"**

(3) Date of filing (if you know): **"N/A"**

(4) Nature of the proceeding: **"N/A"**

(5) Grounds raised: **"N/A"**

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No **"N/A"**

(7) Result: **"N/A"**

(8) Date of result (if you know): **"N/A"**

(c) Did you appeal to a federal appellate court having jurisdiction over the action taken on your motion, petition, or application?

"N/A"

(1) First petition Yes No **"N/A"**

(2) Second petition Yes No **"N/A"**

(d) If you did not appeal from the action on any motion, petition, or application, explain briefly why you did not: **"N/A"**

12. For this motion, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground.

GROUND ONE: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel in conjunction with mental disease or defect.

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim):

Movant has placed upon record and made clear statements to his attorney that "he does not understand his plea agreement." Movant take strong medication that interfer with his thinking and brain functioning process daily. Movant asked his attorney multiple times to seek his due process constitutional law rights for a philological mental examination by an expert in mental health frontal brain cortex, learning disordered, medication side effect expert Medical Director.

"Due to facts that attorney was coercion, persuasion, influencing movant to plea guilty."

(b) **Direct Appeal of Ground One:**

(1) If you appealed from the judgment or conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

"N/A"

(c) **Post-Conviction Proceedings:**

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: "N/A"

Type of motion or petition: _____

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: "N/A" _____

Docket or case number (if you know): "N/A" _____

Date of the court's decision: "N/A" _____

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

"N/A"

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue on appeal?

Yes No "N/A"

(6) If your answer to question (c)(4) is "Yes," state: **"N/A"**

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: **"N/A"**

Docket case number (if you know): **"N/A"**

Date of the court's decision: **"N/A"**

Result: (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order if available):

"N/A"

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

"N/A"

GROUND TWO: Prosecutorial Misconduct in using Bolstering Evidence & Bolstering Testimony from Uncredible Witness United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim):

Federal Prosecutors in this case deliberately concealed favorable evidence that United State Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon overstepped her own oath of office in intentionally impersonating to be "Ms. Rodriguez" and breaching 39 U.S.C.S § 3003 and 39 U.S.C S. § 3004 by using a fictitious name and mailing address with proper identification on receiving and mail in general under the fake name of Ms. Rodriguez with the mailing address tied to law enforcement and United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon in "circumventing the 4th, 5th, 14th Amendment U.S. Constitution for personal gain."

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Two:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment or conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No **"N/A"**

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

"N/A"

(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No **"N/A"**

(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: **"N/A"**

Type of motion or petition: _____

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: **"N/A"**

Docket or case number (if you know): "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: "N/A"

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

"N/A"

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue on appeal?

Yes No "N/A"

(6) If your answer to question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:

"N/A"

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:

"N/A"

Docket case number (if you know): "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: "N/A"

Result: (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order if available): "N/A"

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue: "N/A"

GROUND THREE: Outrageous Government Misconduct in conjunction with ineffective assistance of counsel

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim):

United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon contacted the dark web "ghost 831" seeking to purchase 1 gram of methamphetamine in which was suspicious & creative activities of Government Entrapment process to lure, induce, influence, entrap criminal activity for criminal prosecution. Andrea Brandon used her sex appeal, fake name Ms.Rodriguez, fake mailing to urge "ghost831" into conducting business with Ms. Rodriguez initially under false pretense.

Ms. Rodriguez

P.O. Box

Phoenix, AZ

Ms. Rodriguez used Bit Coin to make her purchase for 1 gram of methamphetamine. And it was obvious that she was law enforcement.. In the Maricopa County areas she could easily make a in-person sale purchase of 1 gram of methamphetamine "if Ms. Rodriguez, ~~wasn't~~ a drug user that is craving for her next methamphetamine high."

Ms. Rodriguez, continue applying pressure for the unkown person "ghost831" to sale her any type of drugs especially her 1 gram of methamphetamine in direct violation of Andrea Brandon rules, bylaw, united states code section 39 U.S.C.S. § 201.

39 U.S.C.S. §§ 3003, 3004 prohibits Andrea Brandon using fictitious names and mailing address for her own selfish desires into targeting "ghost831" for personal gain and financial gain in using Big Coin to hide her true identity as she is breaking other United States Code Section 18 U.S.C.S. §§ 1028, 1028A Identity Theft, Aggravated Identity Theft..

Outrageous Government Misconduct is Evident for Deliberately Entrapment Defense & Prosecutorial Misconduct stemming from United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon Unethical Behavior as Government Official Sworn Under Oath:

Andrea Brandon Bad Acts in the State of Arizona:

1. Tampering With Evidence Inside A United States Post Office in Mesa, Chandler, Phoenix, Glendale, Arizona areas.
2. Switching drugs seized from other defendant or defendants' case to use in another defendant case unrelated to seized drugs.
3. Warrantless Search and Seizure without a valid search warrant signed by a Judge.
4. Making false statements to other law enforcement officer in the Mesa Police Department in Mesa, Arizona and Chandler Police Department in Chandler, Arizona and elsewhere.
5. Falsifying evidence of latent fingerprints found on package tied to this movant..
6. "Racial Injustice as she has made verbally racial slurs around her colleagues that she enjoy lying and prosecuting people of color especially Blacks, Hispanic and Mexican National."
7. Breach of Office to Uphold Law, United States Code Section, and the U.S. Constitutional Rights.
8. Illegal Obtained Evidence conducted by Andrea Brandon warrantless search and seizure
9. Planting Evidence during the course of this United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon entering defendant or defendants' homes in the State of Arizona.
- **10. Law Enforcement Officers tied to U.S.P.I. Andrea Brandon stealing money out of other State and Federal defendant's or defendants' homes during course of search and seizure. As State and Federal Prosecutors' knowingly and intentionally started "withholding of favorable evidence displaying material facts that Andrea Brandon is a Uncredible Witness and all her cases are questionable in regards to her unethical behavior, repeatedly falsifying records and handwritten report on words that alleged defendant's or defendants' have never verbally stated to U.S.P.I. Andrea Brandon but she has the false admission inside her handwritten report used to manipulated the tangible evidence submitted in the Discovery process by Andrea Brandon in Fraud and False Statement manner under 18 U.S.C.S. § 1001 (a)(1)(2)(3) "
11. Racial Injustice Towards Black and Brown People in the State of Arizona as United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon target People of Color at any means deem necessary to boost her selfish federal conviction rates.
12. Institution Racism
13. Selective and Vindictive Prosecution
14. Andrea Brandon committed a Material alteration, and structural alteration under the name of Ms. Rodriguez the buyer on the dark web in an "illegal undercover sting operation to purchase 1 gram of methamphetamine from Ghost831 of dream market." (DOUBLE AGENT/SPY)

6A

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Three:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment or conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

"N/A"

(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes", state: "N/A"

Type of motion or petition: _____

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____

"N/A"

Docket or case number (if you know): "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: "N/A"

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

"N/A"

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

"N/A"

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

"N/A"

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue on appeal?

Yes No

"N/A"

(6) If your answer to question (c)(4) is "Yes," state: "N/A"

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:

"N/A"

Docket case number (if you know): "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: "N/A"

Result: (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order if available):

"N/A"

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

"N/A"

GROUND FOUR: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel In Conjunction Obstruction OF Justice with Withholding OF Evidence

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

In the DEA Report of Investigation - The addresses of the methamphetamine was a sell purchase in the name of "Ms. Rodriguez." She became "upset" that she did not receive her 1 gram that she had previously paid for using Bi+Coin as "she repeatedly emphasized that she wanted to obtain the 1 gram meth, instead she received 3.5 gram of meth.

In the prosecution discovery Ms. Rodriguez stated the outer side of the packing had "visible latent fingerprints" that she personally removed and sent to the Federal Bureau of Investigation Office ("FBI") for examination and identification. (See Attachment Page 8a)

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Four:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment or conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

"N/A"

(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: _____ "N/A"

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____ "N/A"

Docket or case number (if you know): _____ "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: _____ "N/A"

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

"N/A"

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue on appeal?

Yes No

"N/A"

(6) If your answer to question (c)(4) is "Yes," state: "N/A"

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: _____ "N/A"

Docket case number (if you know): _____ "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: _____ "N/A"

Result: (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order if available): "N/A"

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

"N/A"

(a) Ineffective Assistance of Counsel In Conjunction Obstruction Of Justice With Withholding of Evidence

(b) Ineffective Assistance of Counsel In Conjunction with Attorney Failure To Investigate

Movant, has described Ms.Rodriguez, as a Corrupt United States Postal Inspector, Informant/Confidential Source, Undercover Government Agent, Drug Addicted, and a government employee working at one or more United States Postal Offices in the Phoenix, Arizona and the Maricopa County of Arizona area as Double Agent and Super Secret Triple Agent orchestrating criminal creative activities of government entrapment for personal and financial gain to support her prior opioid addiction well-known to her colleagues that Ms.Rodriguez aka Andrea Brandon was addicted to pain medication (opioid) pills.

As certain physical evidence started walking out the evidence room and not being accounted for as U.S.P.I. Andrea Brandon would blame others and ask colleagues of her to cover-up her drug usages with tricks and scams causing a "conflict of interest and miscarriage of justice" in herself mishandling drug search and seizure forms and packages being tampered with under the control, possession, custody of U.S.P.I. Andrea Brandon.

Everyone in this case has personal knowledge that Ms.Rodriguez/U.S.P.I. Andrea Brandon did in fact overstepped her own oath 39 U.S.C.S. §201 by harassing, begging, impede, influence, urge, lure, persuasion tactics in unbecoming law enforcement behavior including the illegal used of Computer Crimes & Threatening Communication deliberately to target the person or persons "using the alleged profile name ghost831.....

- (A) Coercive Influence
- (B) Price Fixing With BitCoin
- (C) Stalking
- (D) Breaching of United States Postal Inspector Legal Duty & Community Loyalty
- (E) Andrea Brandon Drug Test Results during the course of this investigation
- (F) Withholding of Brady Material
- (G) Withholding Andrea Brandon handwritten Affidavit for Ms. Rodriguez approval by U.S.Attorney General William Barr or Jefferson Session to Operate On The Dream Market For Suspect Ghost 831.

GROUND FIVE: Entrapment Defense (Sherman-Sorrells doctrine) in conjunction with prosecutorial misconduct and ineffective assistance of counsel ("Brady Claim")

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

Ms. Rodriguez is law enforcement officer/United States Postal Inspector Andrea Brandon acting under the government authorization to lure and entrap ghost831 under any means deem necessary for federal criminal prosecution even if breaking her Oath of Offices in the process. Ms. Rodriguez had consent to breach the rule of law and her ethics to secure a "tainted arrest using flaws in the preparation of her case method and tactics. Breaking the Arizona Law & Federal Laws comes easier for Andrea Brandon due to surrounding factor decades of Arizona Corruption Reputation.....

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Five:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment or conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

"N/A"

(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: _____ "N/A"

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____ "N/A"

Docket or case number (if you know): _____ "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: _____ "N/A"

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): "N/A"

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No "N/A"

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue on appeal?

Yes No

"N/A"

(6) If your answer to question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: "N/A"

Docket case number (if you know): "N/A"

Date of the court's decision: "N/A"

Result: (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order if available): "N/A"

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

"N/A"

13. Is there any ground in this motion that you have not previously presented in some federal court? If so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:

"N/A"

14. Do you have any motion, petition, or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any court for the judgment you are challenging? Yes No "N/A"

If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type or proceeding, and the issues raised:

"N/A"

15. Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment you are challenging:

"N/A"

(a) At preliminary hearing: "N/A"

(b) At arraignment and plea: "N/A"

(c) At trial: "N/A"

(d) At sentencing: _____ "N/A"

(e) On appeal: _____ "N/A"

(f) In any post-conviction proceeding: _____ "N/A"

(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding: _____ "N/A"

16. Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at the same time? Yes No "N/A"

17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are challenging? Yes No "N/A"

(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:

"N/A"

(b) Give the date of the other sentence was imposed: _____ "N/A"

(c) Give the length of the other sentence: _____ "N/A"

(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any motion, petition, or application that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served in the future? Yes No "N/A"

18. TIMELINESS OF MOTION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain why the one-year statute of limitation as contained in 28 U.S.C § 2255 does not bar your motion.* (see below)

~~See Exhibit A is self-explanatory by the Captain Dennis that she will take it upon herself and FCI # 1 Victorville Medium federal government employees to imposed "massive punishment" on all inmates no matter if her staff members are in the wrong against any inmate or inmates it's a privilege for inmates to be housed at FCI # 1 Victorville Medium. Exhibit A also demonstrate in clear and convincing evidence a Eighth Amendment Crueal and Unusual Punishment and Extraordiary and Compelling Circumstance for Movant mailing this Motion to Vacate Conviction, Set Aside, or Correct a Sentence also grounds for Stay In The Proceeding due to Double Jeopardy Clauses experiencing here at FCI # 1 Victorville Medium. Motion mailed 12/23/2022.~~

*The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. §2255, paragraph 6, provides in part that:

A one-year period limitations shall apply to a motion under this section. The limitation period shall run from the latest of:

- (1) the date on which the judgment of conviction became final;
- (2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making such a motion by such governmental action;

(3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or

(4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

Therefore, Movant asks that the Court grant the following relief:

Movant asked this Court to set this case for in-person "evidentiary hearing due to Government Attorney use of Uncredible Witness N.S.P.II. Andrea Brandon bad acts/corruption or any other relief to which movant is entitled.

Newly Discovered Evidence that U.S.P.I. Andrea Brandon had a "conflict of interest" in the investigation, interviews, and gathering warrantless search and seizure without a valid Search Warrant/Search Warrant Affidavit To Establish Probable, Participation in the urge, luring, influence, coercion of entrapment of ghost831 using a fake name Ms. Rodriguez.

Outrageous Government Misconduct Is Blatant Evidence & Bolstering Andrea Brandon Testimony & Tainted Evidence.

(OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THROUGH ANDREA BRANDON)

I, declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 was placed in the prison mailing system on 12/23/2022

**FCI # 1 Victorville Medium
P.O. Box 3725
Adelanto, CA 92301**

(month, date, year)

Executed (signed) on 12/23/2022 (date)

XX

Signature of Movant

If the person signing is not movant, state relationship to movant and explain why movant is not signing this motion.

**Jacob Matthew Medina
Reg: 11975-508
FCI # 1 Victorville Medium
P.O. Box 3725
Adelanto, CA 92301**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jacob Matthew Medina #11975-508 hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the following:

Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255
To Vacate, Set Aside, Or Correct
Sentence By A Person In Federal Custody

Which is deemed filed at the time it was delivered to prison authorities for forwarding, HOUSTON V. LACK, 101 L. Ed. 2d 245 (1988), upon the defendant/defendants and or his attorney/attorneys of record, by placing same in a sealed, postage prepaid envelope addressed to: Sandra Day O'Connor
U.S. Courthouse
401 W. Washington Street Room #130
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

and deposited same in the United States Mail at the Federal Correctional Institution, FCI # 1 Victorville Medium
P.O. Box 3725
Adelanto, CA 92301

I declare, under penalty of perjury (Title 28 U.S.C. §1746), that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 23 day of December, 2022.



Jacob Matthew Medina

EXHIBIT A

FCI # 1 VICTORVILLE INMATE BULLETIN

INSTITUTIONAL UPDATE BY T. DENNIS, CAPTAIN

DATE : 09/27/2022 ("Mass Punishment Evidence")

FCI # 1 VICTORVILLE INMATE BULLETIN

INSTITUTIONAL UPDATE BY T. DENNIS, CAPTAIN

DATE: 11/16/2022 ("Mass Punishment Evidence")

1. Psychiatric Evidence

2. Mass Punishment

3. Eighth Amendment Claim for Cruel and
Unusual Punishment Grave Deprivation

4. Hindering Due Process By Federal
Government Employees under T. Dennis,
Captain & N.T. McKinney, Warden watch
at FCI # 1 Victorville Medium in the
Adelanto, California 92301

FCI I VICTORVILLE

INMATE BULLETIN

INSTITUTIONAL UPDATE

The purpose of this bulletin is to highlight key expectations with cell sanitation while you are housed at FCI I Victorville.

The below listed items are not an all-encompassing list and does not replace the rules and regulations provided in the Admission and Orientation (A&O) Handbook.

Cell Sanitation - All occupied cells are expected to be ready for inspection between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Below is a list of areas that will be inspected:

- Unassigned beds and lockers need to be empty. Not used as storage.
- Pictures are only allowed to be posted inside the lockers.
- No provocative or explicit pictures are allowed.
- No clotheslines
- No "curtains" are allowed in the cell
- Common areas (desk area etc.) needs to be organized.
- Lockers need to be closed and not have towels or other items hanging on them.
- No homemade shelving
- No pictures on walls
- No items on the vents or hanging from the vents or fire sprinkler system
- No food service items are allowed in the cell (i.e. bags of milk, fruit, vegetables, etc.)
- Windows should neither be obstructed nor used for storage.

Failure to follow these procedures may result in disciplinary action



T. Dennis, Captain

09-27-2022

Date

FCI I VICTORVILLE INMATE BULLETIN

INSTITUTIONAL UPDATE

This notice is being provided to the inmate population regarding the current status of FCI I Victorville

On November 14, 2022, the FCI I Victorville was secured. You received an institutional update, dated September 27, 2022, which explained some key expectations of the inmate population. However, these expectations are being disregarded. As mentioned before there is zero-tolerance of fighting/assaultive behavior, and or disruptive behavior. Furthermore, there will be zero-tolerance for the use/possession of intoxicants and or the use/possession of prison made weapons.

I will reiterate that it is important to follow the rules and regulations, in order to maintain a safe and secure institution.

Showers will be conducted Monday/Wednesday/Fridays. At this time telephones/emails are not authorized until further notice.

As matters continue to be reviewed; in order to ensure the safe and orderly operation of FCI I Victorville, FCI I Victorville will remain secured, and visitation will be cancelled until further notice.

Updates will continue to be disseminated as needed, as we work toward restoring the institution to normal operations, barring any issues.

Your cooperation is expected and appreciated.

//s//

T. Dennis, Captain

11-16-2022

Date